Page 1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ALASKA AT ANCHORAGE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA for the use of NORTH STAR TERMINAL & STEVEDORE COMPANY, d/b/a NORTHERN STEVEDORING & HANDLING, and NORTH STAR TERMINAL & STEVEDORE COMPANY, d/b/a Northern Stevedoring & Handling, on its own behalf,

Plaintiffs,

and

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA for the use of SHORESIDE PETROLEUM, INC., d/b/a Marathon Fuel Service, and SHORE PETROLEUM, INC., d/b/a Marathon Fuel Service, on its own behalf,

Intervening Plaintiffs,

and

METCO, INC.,

Intervening Plaintiff,

vs.

NUGGET CONSTRUCTION, INC.; SPENCER ROCK PRODUCTS, INC.; UNITED STATES FIDELITY AND GUARANTY COMPANY; and ROBERT A. LAPORE,

Defendants.

No. A98-009 CIV (HRH)

DEPOSITION OF JEFFREY "JEFF" BENTZ Pages 1 - 221 (inclusive)

> November 21, 2005 8:33 a.m.

MIDNIGHT SUN COURT REPORTERS * (907) 258-7100

Case No. A98-009 Civil (HRH)

Jeffery Bentz

Page 206 Page 208 1 MS. HO: Objection noted. believe, Nugget, that you have taken over the 2 O Please answer. work of Spencer and it is your responsibility to A I can't tell you any exact dates. 3 3 pay everybody. Q Would anybody at North Star other than 4 A Yeah. It said something like, you have 4 yourself know the answer to that question? taken over the actual work in the quarry and, 5 A I don't know if anybody at North Star therefore, you're responsible to the people doing 6 6 can think back eight years and remember an exact 7 the work for you. That's what it said. 7 date that their thought process changed on a BY MS. HO: particular subject. That's why we're all O That's a letter that I think I saw too, 9 9 reflecting on all these documents. but I don't think it pertains particularly to the 10 10 11 Q My next in question to you is: Do you 11 North Star services. 12 have any knowledge or does North Star have any A Since you have it in your hand and 12 we're talking about it, shouldn't it be marked as knowledge that the United States Corps of 13 13 an exhibit so we can discuss it? Engineers ever communicated to you or North Star that it was not satisfied with Nugget's 15 Q No, this is the same Exhibit No. 16. MR. SHAMBUREK: Isn't it RRO/40? It's performance on the Homer Spit project? 16 16 MR. SEWRIGHT: Object to the form of a two-page document. That might make life 17 the question. easier. I think you might find it referenced in 18 18 19 A I don't recall having seen a document Shoreside's discovery. 20 like that. 20 MR. SEWRIGHT: You want us to go off BY MS. HO: 21 21 the record? 22 Q Okay. So to your recollection or to 22 MR. MACHETANZ: Yeah. your knowledge there was no communication, oral 23 (Short break taken.) or written, where the United States Corps of BY MS. HO: 24 Engineers had indicated that Nugget's performance Q Mr. Bentz, are you ready? Page 207 Page 209 A Sure. was deficient on this project? 1 1 2 A No, that's not true. I believe they 2 MS. HO: Well, I'm going to end my 3 did indicate that in the response on August the 3 questions now, and I'm opening the floor to any 21st. In the next to the last paragraph it says, 4 4 one of you who wants to examine and question Mr. "We remind you that under Contract Clause I.55, 5 5 Bentz. Payments Under Fixed-Price Construction 6 6 **EXAMINATION** 7 Contracts, you are not to request for progress 7 BY MR. VIERGUTZ: payments that which you intend to withhold from a 8 8 Q Good afternoon. 9 subcontractor or supplier." 9 A Good afternoon. 10 And I believe that there's another 10 Q I don't want any legal conclusions. I letter that came from the Corps -- if you could just want your understanding, your own personal 11 help me find it -- I don't know if it was a 12 12 understanding as a layman. If I sue you in 13 response to the August 25th letter from Nugget, 13 business -- you're a businessman. If I sue you, but there's another Corps letter out there do you have a right to go to trial, or do you 14 somewhere, is there not? have to settle that case? 15 MR. SEWRIGHT: Oh, there are several. A I have a right to go to trial. 16 16 17 Q And have you ever spoken to anyone at BY MS. HO: 17 18 Q If you have it --18 USF&G? 19 A There's another Corps letter that 19 A On this matter? 20 indicates that they were unhappy with the 20 Q Yes. performance and that in fact they were not to 21 A Not that I can recall. 21 withhold payments from anybody that was 22 22 Q Do you know whether anyone at North Star ever has? 23 downstream. 23 24 MR. SEWRIGHT: Counsel, there was one 24 A I do not know. letter from the Corps where the Corps said, we 25 Would it be the normal course of

53 (Pages 206 to 209)

Case No. A98-009 Civil (HRH)

Jeffery Bentz

Page 210 Page 212 business that you would make such a contact, or that's led to us being here today. USF&G, to the could anyone do that? best of my knowledge, never stepped up and did 2 3 A It could be any number of maybe three anything, and I believe that that was negligent 3 different individuals that can -- well, my 4 because they were bonding the job. controller could have made a call like that, 5 Q Do you know the difference between 6 since he was involved with things like 6 negligence and bad faith? 7 collections and insurance and things of that A Depending on the circumstance, yeah. 7 8 8 nature. MR. SEWRIGHT: Are you calling for a 9 It could have been Jack Goodwill since 9 legal conclusion now? it was his job. Could have been Scott Francis, MR. VIERGUTZ: No, just his 10 10 who was vice president. Those are the only 11 11 understanding. individuals I can think of, but in the normal Q What is that understanding in this 12 12 course, something like that, it would probably be 13 13 circumstance? me as you have stated. 14 14 A What do you mean? 15 Q Who is your controller? 15 O The difference between negligence and 16 A Steve Brazier at the time. 16 bad faith. 17 O B-r-a-s-i-e-r? 17 A I think they're kind of similar on this A Z-i-e-r, I believe. particular circumstance, in my own mind. I mean, 18 18 Q In reviewing your files, did you see I'm not a lawyer so I don't write legal language, 19 20 any communication written between you and USF&G? 20 and I know that things can be interpreted 21 A Not that I recall. 21 different depending on the words you use. But Okay. If you'd look at Exhibit 5, 22 USF&G's failure in this particular case, in my please, paragraph 38. If you'd read that 23 23 opinion, was the fact that they did nothing. paragraph and be so kind as to tell me when They didn't step in to either settle it. They you've completed that. 25 25 didn't step in to put pressure, at least in my Page 211 Page 213 I've read it. What was your question? 1 perspective from what I've seen, put pressure on 2 0 I haven't asked you yet, but I will. 2 their client to get squared up with their 3 Okay. customers or their vendors or whatever you want Α 3 4 Q What is your understanding of bad faith to call a person that's, you know, owed money. 4 nonpayment? O And that's based entirely on lack of 5 5 6 A Depends on the circumstance. documents that you've seen? 6 7 In this circumstance. 7 0 A I've got nothing to show me that USF&G 8 A What's meant by this? stepped up and said, hey, Nugget, you need to do 8 9 Q Yes. 9 something about this; this is a problem. 10 A From a layman's terms, from me? O Why do you believe they should have 10 Yeah. 11 0 done something? 11 12 A I believe that USF&G was probably 12 A: Can I use an example? fairly aware that there was a problem with their 13 13 0 Sure. customer at the time that they were bonding 14 A Let's say that I'm handling a million Nugget because of the various correspondence that 15 dollar transformer and something goes wrong and I was going on, and they did absolutely nothing and drop it. I have an insurance company. My I believe that was negligent. 17 insurance company, I've got to look to them for Q What is the problem with their 18 direction. They would direct me, hey, you know, 18 19 customer? you've got a lot of things going against you 20 A I'm sorry? 20 here. It's a bad sling; you used a bad sling and 21 Q You said there was a problem with their 21 it broke. I don't think you should fight this; I 22 customer. think you better settle it. We better settle it 22 23 A Oh, it was obvious that something had because it's going to be cheaper than if we take 24 gone wrong with this project in order for their it to the fourth quarter. 24 to be special agents and all this stuff going on 25 I don't see anything in this case where

54 (Pages 210 to 213)

Page 216 Page 214 USF&G did that. I would expect my bonding 1. factors. company to give me good advice in that respect. Q What? 2 2 I would expect my insurance company in my example Financial wherewithal of their client 3 to pay the claim or they're going to feel like to settle a case like that. 4 it's going to come back on their nickel, 5 O Sure. How do you know that didn't relationship with the client, the amount of 6 occur? bonding you do for people like the Corps of 7 A I have no knowledge whether it did or 7 did not, but there's nothing to show that it did 8 Engineers. The Corps doesn't like stuff coming 8 back on them. 9 9 There's a whole series of factors that O And nothing to show it didn't, wouldn't 10 10 are business decisions that a prudent business that be correct, that you've read? 11 11 person would make that would lead to any number A I don't know. Just the lack of seeing 12 anything that would indicate that there was a of various possibilities to answer your question. 13 13 Q Could one possibility be that they push -- right up to the point in time when we got 14 believe that you're wrong and Nugget's right? a settlement, which I believe was a joint 15 A Do you really want me to answer that settlement offer, after all this crap has gone on 16 here just recently. I think we were offered question? 17 Q Yes. \$20,000. Bad faith in my opinion. 18 18 Q But you have no piece of paper to show 19 A I don't believe you believe that. 19 How's that for an answer? 20 me in your records --21 A I don't know whether the \$20,000 offer 21 O It's not an answer. that came as a joint settlement offer from Nugget MR. SEWRIGHT: It is an answer. 22 22 and USF&G is in writing or if it was only oral or A It's an answer. I don't believe that 23 23 verbal, so I don't know. you believe that. 24 25 Q But you don't know if USF&G told this BY MR. VIERGUTZ: Page 215 Page 217 person, pay a million dollars and pay it now? I want a yes or no. 1 1 Ask the question again. 2 2 A No. Q Could one of the possibilities be that 3 MR. SEWRIGHT: For the record, you're 3 USF&G believes that you're wrong and Nugget's 4 4 referring to Mr. Smithson? 5 MR. VIERGUTZ: Yes. 5 right? A I do not know that, you're correct. 6 A It could be a possibility. 6 Q Okay. Then we go to nonsettlement. BY MR. VIERGUTZ: 7 7 What do you mean -- what's your understanding of 8 Q Could go either way, couldn't it? Could have happened; could not have happened? 9 9 that? 10 MR. SEWRIGHT: Object to the form of 1.0 A I'm sorry. What paragraph is that? Q Same paragraph. It's just the word the question. 11 11 A Right. I have not seen any after nonpayment. 12 12 documentation. I would presume in a case that's MR. SEWRIGHT: Object to the form of 13 13 of this potential magnitude where you've got 14 the question, if that is a question. several people claiming that they've been injured 15 A Which part of it? financially, there would be something in writing BY MR. VIERGUTZ: back and forth between the two of you that would Q Nonsettlement, just that word; what 17 indicate such, and that that would have come out 18 does that mean to you? in the discovery process somehow or another where A We haven't got paid. We haven't got 19 we would have seen USF&G in fact was pushing 20 squat. Q And is it correct that USF&G does not their client to settle it. 21 21 22 BY MR. VIERGUTZ: have to settle the case just like you don't have 22 to settle it if you choose not to; isn't that 23 Q Why should they push their client to 23 24 settle it? 24 correct? 25 Depends. Could depend on a number of 25 We can take it all the way.

55 (Pages 214 to 217)

	Page 218		Page 220
1	Q You bet. Why can't they?	1	WITNESS CERTIFICATE
2	A They can.	2	JEFFREY "JEFF" BENTZ Taken November 21, 2005
3	Q Why is it bad faith if they make that	3	I hereby certify that I have read the foregoing
4	choice?		deposition and accept it as true and correct,
5	MR. SEWRIGHT: Object to the form.	4 5	with the following exceptions:
6	A I guess it depends how much knowledge	6	PAGE LINE CORRECTION
7	they have about the case. Early on in this case,	7	
8	real early on, if I was USF&G, I might believe	8	
9	what you just suggested might be one of the	9	
10	possibilities, but I don't believe USF&G believes	10	
11	that today.	11	
12	BY MR. VIERGUTZ:	12	
13	Q And then it says, "and/or refusal to	13	
14	discuss settlement."	14 15	
15	Again, you're a businessman. If you	16	
16	get sued, you don't have to settle; you and I	17	
17	have agreed on that, correct?	18	
18	A Correct.	19	
19	Q And so you don't even have to discuss	20	
20	settlement with me if I sue you, do you?	21	
21	MR. SEWRIGHT: Object to the form.	22	D LECTREV DENTEZ
22	A Don't have to.	23	Date JEFFREY BENTZ
23	MR. SEWRIGHT: Calls for a legal	24	(Use additional paper to note corrections as needed,
24	conclusion.		signing and dating each page.) (LK)
25	A I don't have to.	25	
	Page 219		Page 221
1	O. Na	1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
1	Q No.	2	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	MR. VIERGUTZ: No further questions. Thanks for your time.	3	I, LESLIE J. KNISLEY, Shorthand Reporter
4	MR. SHAMBUREK: I don't have any.	4	and Notary Public in and for the State of Alaska do
5	MR. SEWRIGHT: I have no questions.	5	hereby certify:
6	We're done.	6	That the witness in the foregoing
7	MS. HO: Right. Thanks for appearing.	7	proceedings was duly sworn; that the proceedings
8	(Proceedings concluded at 2:47 p.m.)	-8	were then taken before me at the time and place
١	(1 roccodings concluded at 2.47 p.m.)	9	herein set forth; that the testimony and proceedings
10		10	were reported stenographically by me and later transcribed under my direction by computer
11		12	
12		13	
13		14	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
14		15	that I am not a party to nor have I any interest in
15		16	the outcome of the action herein contained.
16		17	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto
17		18	subscribed my hand and affixed my seal this 15th
18		19	day of December, 2005.
		20	
19		21	
19 20		l	LEGIEL VIIGLEY
19 20 21		22	LESLIE J. KNISLEY Notary Public for Alaska
20		22	Notary Public for Alaska
20 21		22	
20 21 22			Notary Public for Alaska

56 (Pages 218 to 221)